

MINUTES
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
RUTLAND COUNTY SOLID WASTE DISTRICT
BUSINESS MEETING
May 11, 2016

DRAFT

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Brandon	Gabe McGuigan (by phone)	Poultney	
Castleton	Tim Gilbert	Proctor	John Jozwiak
Clarendon	Arthur Knox	Rutland City	
Danby			Robert Barrett
Hubbardton			Gary Donahue
Ira	Larry Taggart	Killington	
Mendon		Wallingford	
Mt. Holly	Clint Wooley	West Rutland	Sean Barrows
Pittsford			

OTHERS PRESENT:

Jim O’Gorman, RCSWD Manager	Deane Wilson, RCSWD
-----------------------------	---------------------

Mr. Taggart called the meeting to order at 6:40 P.M. with quorum present

1. SET AGENDA

Mr. Taggart asked if there were any changes to the agenda. Mr. Barrett suggested that items needing a vote be moved to the beginning of the agenda. A few Board members had subsequent meetings that they needed to attend.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES April 6, 2016

Mr. Gilbert moved to accept the minutes. Mr. Donahue provided the second. The motion passed unanimously.

3. FINANCIAL STATEMENT FEBRUARY 2016

Mr. O’Gorman presented his managers’ report. For administration, tonnage was down, but on par for this time of year.. Recycling commodities were even. Clean Harbors had visited the transfer station on Tuesday and neutralized some volatile materials that had come through the program. The Solid Waste Managers Association had agreed to cover the cost of disposal. Mr. Gilbert moved to accept the financials. Mr. Barrett provided the second. The motion passed unanimously.

4. OPEN TO THE PUBLIC

No public in attendance

5. OLD BUSINESS

A. Paving Bids for MRF

Mr. O’Gorman stated that there were 3 bids submitted. Companies were Wilks Sealing, Springfield Paving and D&F Paving based out of Middlebury. Wilks Sealing Bid was for \$18,730 which involved 190 tons @ \$98.58/ton. Springfield Paving was for \$18,116 which involved 209 tons @ \$86.68/ton. D&F involved 2 bids: one involving 187 tons and the other was for 214 tons. Both tonnages were for \$80/ton. Mr. O’Gorman explained that there were two offers because of confusion on the depth of asphalt required. The D&F proposal included a separate line item of \$4000 for excavator work. Mr. Jozwiak moved that the District accept the Springfield bid, based on it being the lowest bid. Discussion followed on the criteria of each bid, Mr. O’Gorman read each bid. Mr. Gilbert offered that based on the closeness of the bids that the District should encourage local businesses. Discussion followed on the difference of tonnages presented through each bid and Mr. O’Gorman stated that all 3 companies had visited the site and taken their own measurements. Mr. Barrows asked why Wilks Paving hadn’t bid and Mr. O’Gorman indicated that there had been a difference of opinions on bidding the job on the first effort. Mr. Gilbert moved that the paving job be awarded to the local contractor, Wilks Sealing. Discussion followed on the two bids from D&F paving. Mr. Barrows provided a second for the low bid from Springfield Paving but the vote was a majority against. Mr. Knox provided a second to award the job to Wilk Sealing. The vote was a majority for awarding to Wilk Sealing.

B. E-Waste = General Update

Mr. O’Gorman distributed a page detailing the tonnages of electronics collected. An explanation was given for the next electronics collection year contract. Mr. O’Gorman stated that he would be working on updating the town contracts. The state was looking for some additional information on storage capacity at the sites. The reimbursement rates would be dependent on

separation of non-covered from covered and how much could be stored on site. Mr. Gilbert asked if non-covered items would be charged going forward and Mr. O’Gorman indicated that there would be a charge for non-covered items.

At 7:00pm Mr. McGuigan had to disconnect from the meeting. A quorum was no longer available.

Mr. Barrows asked if the state had scheduled or discussed changing the legislation to include all electronics. Mr. O’Gorman stated that he would discuss the issue at the next managers meeting.

C. Recycling Data – general info

Mr. O’Gorman provided an overview of msw and recycling data from District town transfer stations. 3 years of data had been compared and indications showed that recycling had increased at some of the transfer stations as a result of zero sort and implementation of PAYT. Wells Transfer Station had shown the most significant difference. Mr. Gilbert wondered what Rutland City drop-off was doing different to result in such a high recycling rate? Mr. Barrows asked what could be done to increase the recycling rate at all the transfer stations. Mr. Jozwiak asked if the recycling rate for the Proctor municipal curbside collection program could be included in the information. Discussion followed on the camera system at the Gleason Rd transfer station. Mr. O’Gorman stated that the system is 10 years old and technology has advanced significantly that it might be a good time to replace the system. Mr. Dapron CWM has indicated that Casella could cover 50% of the cost but needed some information concerning cost before they could include the expenditure in their budget. Discussion followed on the approximate cost: \$2300 each and that there were 8 cameras with only 6 currently working.

Mr. Gilbert asked Mr. O’Gorman to discuss the compost timeline regulations at the next Manager’s meeting. There have been recent news articles about rabid skunks and compost programs. Wells had proposed appointing their 3 town select board members as representatives to the RCSWD District. Mr. Taggart offered that one member could be appointed as the representative with the two other select board members appointed as the alternates. Mr. Barrett asked if there had been any luck discussing with the city the use of the city engineer about the layout at the Gleason Rd. transfer station. Mr. O’Gorman stated that Mr. Wennberg has indicated that city staff are extremely busy and couldn’t commit to helping with the transfer station.

At 7:20 Mr. Donahue had to leave the meeting to attend to a previously scheduled meeting.

Discussion followed on the timeline for replacing the current scale at the transfer station along with potential layout and logistical concerns. Mr. Gilbert asked if there were any discussion on relocating the facility. Also if there had been monies set aside for the new scale? Mr. O’Gorman indicated that there was a line item in the transfer station budget for the scale replacement.

6. NEW BUSINESS

- A. _____
- B. _____
- C. _____
- D. _____

7. ADJOURN

At 7:35 there was no further business.

Respectfully submitted
Deane Wilson