

NOTES
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
RUTLAND COUNTY SOLID WASTE DISTRICT

DISCUSSION
January 3, 2007

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Brandon	Mei Mei Brown	Poultney	
Castleton		Proctor	
Clarendon		Rutland City	Bruce Bentley
Danby			Paul Barbagallo
Hubbardton			John Densmore
Ira		Killington	
Mendon		Wallingford	Richard Crowley
Mt. Tabor		Wells	
Pittsford	Don Nickless	West Rutland	

OTHERS PRESENT:

Jim O'Gorman	District Manager	Joyce Segale	RCSWD
Deane Wilson	RCSWD		

CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Bentley called the meeting to order at 6:39pm. A quorum was present.

ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION AND ACTION

1. SET AGENDA

Ms. Brown asked that the issue with CSWD letter of landfill support be added.

2. MINUTES OF DECEMBER 6, 2006

Mr. O'Gorman distributed a copy of the minutes. Ms. Brown moved to accept the minute. Mr. Barbagallo provided the second. The motion was unanimous.

3. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, NOVEMBER 2006

Mr. O'Gorman reviewed the manager's report. Mr. O'Gorman stated that the District would end the year in the black and that 41,000 tons of MSW would have been disposed of as opposed to the 38,000 that was budgeted. Mr. Densmore asked about the \$41,000 equipment expense and if it had been capitalized? Mr. O'Gorman stated \$16,000 was for the loader tires and the rest was for paving. Ms. Segale said that capital outlays were expensed in the general fund and depreciation was presented on a separate schedule in the audit. The MRF fund is a proprietary fund and depreciation expense is posted and reflected in the MRF income statement.

Ms. Brown moved to accept the financial statement. Mr. Crowley provided the second. The motion passed unanimously.

4. OPEN TO THE PUBLIC

There were no public in attendance.

5. OLD BUSINESS

A. FY 2007 Proposed Budget – approval

Mr. O'Gorman distributed a revised version of the budget with the explanation that there was a change to the C&D portion of the transfer station program. Also adjusted was the revenue for permit fees and grant expectations. Mr. Bentley asked if the permit fees had been allocated over the next two years? Mr. O'Gorman discussed the expected changes and how it would affect the budget. Questions followed on the timeline and the

expected changes and effects. Mr. O’Gorman stated that _____. Ms. Brown moved that the 2007 budget be accepted with the changes. Mr. Nickless provided the second. The motion passed unanimously.

6. NEW BUSINESS

- A. Markowski Excavating Inc. – recertification of processing facility
Mr. O’Gorman stated that Markowski was seeking a letter from the District that indicated their operation was accepted in the District’s SWIP. Mr. O’Gorman provided a handout of the original State application that was submitted in 2002. Ms. Brown suggested that the letter of approval provide conditions all Act 250 and town requirements be fulfilled. Consensus among the board was not to act as police; that if Markowski met the District’s requirements that it should be left to the Act 250 board and town to enforce requirements. Discussion followed on wordage in the original State acceptance letter and that review should be done on the closure fund. Ms. Brown provided a review of Markowski’s operation and future expectations for the property. Ms. Brown moved that the District provide the required State letter for recertification of the Markowski operation provided that mention was made of the typo’s in the original certification. Mr. Barbagallo provided the second. The motion was unanimously.
- B. Chittenden Solid Waste District letter of support for landfill
Mr. O’Gorman described the sequence of events leading up to the CSWD request for support of their landfill proposal. The consensus among the District managers was to table the request for now and ask that the State take a lead role in developing a policy and process for landfill needs and citing within the State. Mr. O’Gorman was asked to draft a letter to the State indicating the RCSWD Board felt there needed to be more direction from the State. Discussion followed on the State’s involvement with decision making. Mr. Bentley suggested that responsibility should be placed on the generators of the waste.

Ms. Brown asked about the possibility of another unwanted medications collection be held. Mr. O’Gorman pointed out the negative aspects of the program. Mr. Bentley asked if there were an alternative to fund the program? Discussion followed on researching a distributor take back program. Ms. Brown suggested that another collection be held because public interest was out there.

7. ADJOURN

Mr. Densmore moved to adjourn at 7:45 pm. Ms. Brown provided the second. The motion passed unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,
Deane Wilson